UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,381	10/03/2003	Hyoung Jin Kim	2080-3-185	3499
35884 7590 05/21/2007 LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 660 S. FIGUEROA STREET			EXAMINER	
			WEISKOPF, MARIE	
Suite 2300	LOCANCELES CA 00017			PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELI	23, CA 30017	,	3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/678,381	KIM, HYOUNG JIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marie A. Weiskopf	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007.						
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
a);	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurabayashi (US 5,787,382).
- In regard to claims 1 and 10, a vehicle navigation method for guiding path of a complex intersection, comprising the steps of:
 - Generating a node and a link sequence from a path searching data (Column
 4, lines 48-60; Column 5, lines 49-55)
 - Extracting a terminal sharing node and link by comparing the node and link
 sequence with a map for terminal (Column 5, lines 41-48)
 - Reconstructing a path guidance sharing node and link by comparing the node
 and link sequence with a map for terminal (Column 5, lines 56-65; Column
 - Performing a map matching and a path following in a drive state on the basis
 of the reconstructed data
 - Providing the followed path guidance information to a user
 - Wherein a complex intersection is an intersection having a plurality of nodes
 (Figure 7; Column 5, lines 41-48)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/678,381

Art Unit: 3661

- In regard to claims 2 and 11, wherein the path searching data is provided from at least on of an external server and a self-system (Column 3, lines 60-67; Column 4, lines 48-60)
- In regard to claims 3 and 12, wherein the reconstruction of the path guidance data is carried out by reconstructing a node and a link data of the complex intersection (Column 5, lines 49-65)
- In regard to claim 9, wherein the path guidance information is provided by at least one of on a screen and by a voice (Column 4, lines 61-67)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 7-8, 13 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurabayashi (US 5,787,382) in view of Yamashita et al (US 6,424,911).
- In regard to claims 4 and 13, Kurbayashi fails to disclose wherein the step of reconstructing the path guidance data comprises the steps of performing a grouping by using the sharing node and link of the complex intersection and patterning the grouped complex intersection, however, Yamashita et al discloses grouping of nodes and links. (Column 14, lines 1-25) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the grouping by using

Application/Control Number: 10/678,381

Art Unit: 3661

sharing node and links of the complex intersection to be able to quickly and efficiently locate all the nodes and links associated with one intersection.

- In regard to claims 5-6 and 14-15, Yamashita et al i specifically disclose defining a complex intersection configuration node of a navigation numeric map and if a connectivity between the grouped nodes is secured, judging the complex intersection as a nodeset (Column 14), however, Yamashita et al does not specifically disclose grouping the extracted sharing node by using a definted intersection name attribute and each node of the complex intersection having a same name. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to group together the complex intersection by having each node have the same attribute name in order to be able to quickly identify which nodes and links go with which complex intersection as is discussed in Yamashita et al (Column 14,lines 1-25).
- In regard to claims 7 and 16, Kurbayashi fails to disclose wherein the step of performing the grouping by using the link of the complex intersection comprises the steps of defining a complex intersection configuration link of a navigation numeric map, grouping the extracted link by using a defined intra-intersection link attribution and judging a link, which is not the intra-intersection link among the grouped links, as a connection link, however, Yamashita et al discloses this. (Column 14) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the grouping by using sharing node and links of the complex intersection

Art Unit: 3661

to be able to quickly and efficiently locate all the nodes and links associated with one intersection.

In regard to claims 8 and 17, Kurbayashi fails to disclose wherein patterning the grouped complex intersection comprises the steps of indexing nodes, extracting a connection angle of a connection link coupled in a progressing direction of the indexed node, integrating the complex intersection links by using the extracted connection angle and adding a special intersection attribute to the integrated complex intersection, however, Yamashita et al discloses this. (Column 17, lines 33-58) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teachings of Yamashita et al with those of Kurbayashi in order to provide an efficient system to map the complex intersection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/678,381

Art Unit: 3661

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie A. Weiskopf whose telephone number is (571) 272-6288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information SUPERISORY PATENT EXAMINE! system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MW